



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,848      | 04/09/2004  | Lawrence V. Tannenbaum | CHPPM 03-22 03      | 8673             |

27370 7590 06/04/2008  
OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE  
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND MATERIEL COMMAND  
ATTN: MCMR-JA (MS. ELIZABETH ARWINE)  
504 SCOTT STREET  
FORT DETRICK, MD 21702-5012

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LIN, JERRY

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1631

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

06/04/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/820,848 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TANNENBAUM, LAWRENCE V. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>JERRY LIN         | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1631                        |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jerry Lin (Examiner).

(3) Lawrence Tannenbaum (Applicant).

(2) Warren Zitlau (Applicant's Representative).

(4) Marcus Streips (Applicant Representative).

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ieradi, Ryabokon, Phillips.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parties discussed the affidavit that swore behind the 103 rejection as well as submitted references in response to the enablement issue. Parties also discussed how the rodent data is to be extrapolated to other mammals.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jerry Lin/ 5/29/08

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required